

gambling host responsibility and harm minimisation for Maori? Dr Lorna Dyall Te Kupenga Hauora Maori



- 2005 NZ invited to host gambling regulators
- Te Herenga Waka o Te Ora Whanau invited to present
- Powhiri presented & seen as a cultural model relevant only to Maori

Te Tiriti o Waitangi and Host Responsibility

- Powhiri is not just a cultural model- it is inherent in the defined
- roles and responsibilities of Maori and Crown Place of Te Tiriti of Waitangi is constantly being challenged-it makes NZ different from other countries
- Challenges NZ to understand its historical and social context of gambling
- International indicators to evaluate harm minimisation may not be relevant for NZ
- High profile gambling cases raise the profile of the need for host responsibility requirements to be in place and enforced

Te Tiriti o Waitangi : Defines Roles : Host and Guest

- Maori see and define themselves as tangata whenua
- Crown and successive governments exist due to Te Tiriti o Waitangi
- Maori agreed to share role of host with Crown to develop legislation policies protect wellbeing of Maori
- Te Tiriti o Waitangi must be recognised in any monitoring and evaluation process

Gambling in New Zealand

- 1990s NZers involvement and engagement in gambling has increased influenced by developments in Australia
- Since 1990s, we have seen growth of casinos, pokie machines, TAB outlets, sports betting, Lotto and other products and growth in gambling expenditure (\$2 billion)
- Gambling now framed as a recreational activity and community benefit- benefits are promoted at the expense of the costs

Gambling Act 2003

- Purpose to promote safe and responsible gambling MoH delegated role to purchase services and
- interventions to reduce gambling harm Gambling industries pay for perceived harm they
- create No specific funding to address gambling related
- harm for Maori Now need to defined indicators/outcomes to map
- achievements in eliminating harm for Maori
- We now need an independent body which is Treaty founded, to monitor legislation and to enable the development of Maori led strategy to eliminate gambling harm

Monitoring and Licensing of Gambling in New Zealand

DIA key role in gambling policy, licensing and regulations

- Harm minimisation regulations are now required to be in place in casinos and pokie machine venues
- Examples information on problem gambling, limits on signs and advertising, requirement staff to identify actual and potential problem gamblers
- Regulations are developed based on the precautionary principle

and social disorganisation

Interventions are similar to those introduced in New South Wales and Queensland are difficult to monitor, to enforce and limited effectiveness.

Monitoring and Licensing of Gambling

- An overarching monitoring and evaluation framework needs to be developed for NZ Current interventions introduced could cause harm
- Christchurch City Council proposing review of gambling venue policy
- Assumes that implementation and enforcement of current host responsibility requirements for alcohol and gambling will reduce harm
- Gambling Act and regulations now needs to be monitored and evaluated as to how effective for Maori

Maori Problem Gambling Maori are two to three times at risk of problem gambling than Europeans Maori spend more on gambling than Europeans One in three have problems with gambling Maori women now present for help more than men (82% with problems don't present) Problem gamblers are likely to be aged 25-35, be Maori or Pacific, low educational attainment, employed and living alone Gambling outlets concentrated in low income communities effect social capital, increase crime

Gambling hurts the poor

Poorer communities the hardest hit

Gambling is now recognised as being similar to alcohol and tobacco for Maori

Increases health and social problems, facilitates poverty and family dysfunction

Individuals and

populations victimised for their behaviour, little control over the environment they live in.



Powhiri: Structured Process of Engagement

- Provides means to establish new and ongoing relationships between different parties to reduce harm Recognises the importance of tapu and noa, time and space in establishing new relationships
- Participants defined roles and responsibilities, mana whenua (host) and manuhiri (guest) Karanga provides a warning on behalf host entering into a new space may be hazardous. Role of guest acknowledge warning,
- Whaikorero- establishes connections between host and guest Kawa - rules of how host and guest will interact are often laid down
- Koha- a gift of love is given to host in recognition of the cost of caring for others, host and guest must not exploit each other



- Hariru –cements relationship , acknowledges time, place, people, wairua
- Manaakitanga: mana of host dependent upon how well cares for guest
- Poroporoaki : acknowledges farewell, but ongoing relationships, host has responsibility to ensure safe travel of guest
- Powhiri process emphasizes that no host responsibility program can exist in isolation from the people they aim to protect
- Host responsibility program must be part of a wider public health program to reduce disparities and acknowledge the social and economic context of peoples lives



















- Venues prosecuted for not meeting host responsibilities are fined and lose their licence
- licence. Crown is aware of duty of care responsibilities and legal litigation by Maori



